Case 2:21-cv-000@7 Page 10 Pag

Date: 8/31/2021 Time: 03:04 PM Page 1 of 1

Case Register Report DV-16-2021-0000011-DS

Murtisiah Taylor vs. Target Corporation

Filed:

1/5/2021

Subtype:

Damages

Status History

Open

1/5/2021

Plaintiffs

Pl. no. 1

Taylor, Murtisiah

Attorneys

Rabb, Michael L.

(Primary attorney)

Send Notices

Corn, Kristen

Send Notices

Defendants

Def. no. 1

Target Corporation

Attorneys

Lanning, Allen Page

(Primary attorney)

Send Notices

Judge History

Date

Judge

Reason for Removal

1/5/2021

Brown, John C.

Current

Register of Actions

Doc. Seq.	Entered	Filed	Text	Judge
1.000	01/05/2021	01/05/2021	Plaintiff's Praecipe	Brown, John C.
2.000	01/05/2021	01/05/2021	Complaint for Damages	Brown, John C.
3.000	01/26/2021	01/20/2021	Summons Returned Served	Brown, John C.
4.000	02/04/2021	02/03/2021	Email Filing- Defendant's Answer and Demand for Jury Trial	Brown, John C.
5.000	02/05/2021	02/05/2021	Order for Stipulated Scheduling Order	Brown, John C.
6.000	03/12/2021	03/12/2021	Stipulated Scheduling Order	Brown, John C.
7.000	06/02/2021	05/28/2021	Plaintiff's Lay Witness and Associated Exhibits List	Brown, John C.
8.000	08/02/2021	07/30/2021	Email Filing- Unopposed Motion to Amend Stipulated Scheduling Order and Extend Deadlines	Brown, John C.
9.000	08/03/2021	08/02/2021	First Amended Scheduling Order	Brown, John C.
10.000	08/03/2021	08/02/2021	Original Filing - Defendant's Unopposed Motion to Amend Stipulated Scheduling Order and Extend Deadline	Brown, John C.
11.000	08/10/2021	08/06/2021	Defendant Target Corporation's Lay Witness and Exhibit List	Brown, John C.
12.000	08/10/2021	08/06/2021	Plaintiff's Request for Statement of Damages	Brown, John C.
13.000	08/25/2021	08/23/2021	Email Filing - Plaintiff's Response to Request for Statement of Damages	Brown, John C.

	Case 2:21-cv-00067-BMM Document 1-3 Filed 09/01/21 Page 2 of 43 GALLATIN COUNTY CLERT OF DISTRICT COURT OF DISTRICT COURT OF DISTRICT COURT
1	2021 JAN -5 PM 4: 10
2	FILED
3	
4	BY DEPUTY
5	MONTANA'S EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT, GALLATIN COUNTY
6	
7	MURTISIAH TAYLOR, an individual, CASE NO. CASE NO.
8	Plaintiff, PRAECIPE
9	vs.
10	TARGET CORPORATION, a Minnesota Corporation,
11	DOES 1-10, and ABC COMPANIES 1-10,
12	Defendants.
13	
14	
15	TO: CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT:
16 17	Please issue the attached Summons, file the Complaint for Damages, and return a conformed
18	page 1 of the Complaint along with a receipt for the filing fee of \$120.00.
19	Thank you.
20	
21	Dated: January 5, 2021 THE RABB LAW FIRM, PLLC
22	
23	Sum Kusel
24	Paralegal
25	
26	
27	
28	

1 2	Michael L. Rabb Kristen L. Corn	2021 JAN -5 PH 4: 10	
3	THE RABB LAW FIRM, PLLC 3950 Valley Commons Drive, Suite 1	FILED	
4	Bozeman, MT 59718 Telephöne: (406) 404-1747	ov prnus V	
5	Facsimile: (406) 551-6847 Email: service@therabblawfirm.com	Y TU930YG	
6	Attorney for Plaintiff		
7	Tanor noy yor I raining		
8	MONTANA'S EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT, GALLATIN COUNTY		
9	MURTISIAU TAVI OR on individual	CASENO DI 11 716	
10	MURTISIAH TAYLOR, an individual,	CASE NO. DV-11-21C	
11	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	
12	vs.		
13	TARGET CORPORATION, a Minnesota Corporation, DOES 1-10, and ABC COMPANIES 1-10,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
15	Defendants.		
17	Plaintiff, Murtisiah Taylor, by and through her undersigned counsel, and for her cause of action		
18	against Defendant Target Corporation, Does 1-10	and ABC Companies 1-10 (collectively the	
19	"Defendants") allege as follows:		
20	PARTI	ES	
21	Plaintiff Murtisiah Taylor ("Plaintiff")) is a resident of Gallatin County, Montana.	
22			
23			
24	all times alleged herein, was doing business in Gallatin County, Montana.		
26	3. At the time this Complaint was filed, Plaintiff was ignorant of the true names and		
27	capacities of Does 1-10, and ABC Companies 1-10 and, therefore, sues these defendants by such		
28	fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the fictitiously		
	1		
	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES		

named defendants is liable or responsible in some manner to Plaintiff for the damages alleged. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege Does 1-10's and ABC Companies 1-10's true names and capacities when the same are ascertained.

4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that at all times herein each of the defendants, including the defendants sued as Does 1-10 and ABC Companies 1-10 were acting as the agent, servant, partner, joint venture and/or employee of their co-defendants and were acting within the scope of that authority with the full knowledge, permission, an express or implied consent of each of the remaining defendants.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Plaintiff re-alleges, and incorporates by this reference, each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs.
 - 6. All acts and omissions alleged herein occurred in Gallatin County, Montana.
 - 7. Montana has jurisdiction over the Defendants.
- 8. Venue is proper in Gallatin County pursuant to §§25-2-122(1)(b), and 25-2-122(2)(a) MCA.
 - 9. This Court has jurisdiction over both the parties and the subject matter of this lawsuit.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Plaintiff re-alleges, and incorporates by this reference, each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants own, occupy and operate the Target store 1237 located at 2550 Catron St., in Bozeman, Montana (the "Target store").
 - 12. The Target store is a retail store that is open to the public.
- 13. On or about November 30, 2019 Plaintiff visited the Target store as a business invitee for purposes of shopping for various household items.

- 14. At approximately 5:50 p.m., on or about November 30, 2020, the Plaintiff exited the store accompanied by two Target employees who were assisting Plaintiff with loading her purchases into a friend's vehicle.
- 15. As Plaintiff stepped on to the walkway near the exterior exit and entry to the store, she stepped on an accumulation of ice, slipped, and fell on to her left side, suffering serious and permanent injuries.
- 16. Plaintiff was helped up by a Target employee and immediately assisted back into the Target store where she was required to provide information to another Target employee, who filled out an "Incident Report".
- 17. At no time did any Target employee ask Plaintiff whether she needed medical treatment or assistance.
 - 18. Plaintiff was then released by the Defendants to leave the Target store.

CAUSE OF ACTION I: NEGLIGENCE (§ 27-1-701 MCA)

- 19. Plaintiff re-alleges, and incorporates by this reference, each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs.
- 20. Defendants owed a duty to business invitees, including Plaintiff, to exercise ordinary care in their occupation and operation of the Target store by, among other ways:
 - a. Keeping the walkways and walking areas, including the entry and exit areas of the Target store, free from hazardous conditions, such as ice and ice accumulation, that could result in harm to its business invitees;
 - b. Inspecting walkways and walking areas, including entry and exit areas of the Target store, for hazardous conditions, such as ice and ice accumulation, at reasonable intervals in light of existing weather conditions;
 - c. Promptly removing hazardous conditions, such as ice and ice accumulation, which

create an unreasonable risk of harm, or to otherwise make the hazardous condition safe;

- d. Warning or safeguarding against unreasonably dangerous conditions existing at the Target store, such as ice and ice accumulation on walkways and walking areas, including entry and exit areas of the Target store.
- 21. Defendants breached their duties to Plaintiff by, among other things, negligently, carelessly and/or recklessly:
 - Failing to keep the walkways and walking areas, including the entry and exit areas,
 free of ice and ice accumulation;
 - Failing to undertake necessary measures to discover ice and ice accumulation on the walkways and walking areas, including the entry and exit areas of the Target store;
 - c. Failing to undertake precautionary measures to prevent business invitees, including Plaintiff, from encountering slipping hazards, such as ice and ice accumulation, on walkways and walking areas, including the entry and exit areas of the Target store;
 - d. Failing to warn against the unreasonably hazardous conditions, such as ice and ice accumulation on the walking areas and walkways, including the entry the entry and exit areas of the Target store.
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' breaches, Plaintiff sustained injuries and damages.
- 23. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants had knowledge of facts, or intentionally disregarded facts, that created a high probability of injury to the Plaintiff and deliberately proceeded to act with indifference of the high probability of injury to the Plaintiff.
 - 24. Plaintiff's damages are permanent and include, but are not limited to, bodily injuries,

27

28

past and future medical expenses, past and future pain and suffering, mental anxiety, loss of earnings and loss of earning capacity in an amount to be proven at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as set forth in the Prayer for Relief below.

CAUSE OF ACTION II: NEGLIENCE - PREMISES LIABILITY

- 25. Plaintiff re-alleges, and incorporates by this reference, each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs.
- 26. As a possessor of the premises located at 2550 Catron Street in Bozeman, MT, Defendants had a duty to use ordinary care in maintaining the property in a reasonably safe condition and to warn of hidden or lurking dangers by, among other ways:
 - a. Keeping the walkways and walking areas, including the entry and exit areas of the Target store, free from hazardous conditions, such as ice and ice accumulation, that could result in harm to its business invitees;
 - b. Inspecting walkways and walking areas, including entry and exit areas of the Target store, for hazardous conditions, such as ice and ice accumulation, at reasonable intervals in light of existing weather conditions;
 - c. Promptly removing hazardous conditions, such as ice and ice accumulation, which create an unreasonable risk of harm, or to otherwise make the hazardous condition safe;
 - d. Warning or safeguarding against an unreasonably dangerous conditions existing at the Target store, such as ice and ice accumulation on walkways and walking areas, including entry and exit areas of the Target store.
- 27. On November 30, 2019, Defendants negligently breached their duties to Plaintiff by, among other ways:

- Failing to keep the walkways and walking areas, including the entry and exit areas,
 free of ice and ice accumulation;
- Failing to undertake necessary measures to discover ice and ice accumulation on the walkways and walking areas, including the entry and exit areas of the Target store;
- c. Failing to undertake precautionary measures to prevent business invitees, including Plaintiff, from encountering slipping hazards, such as ice and ice accumulation, on walkways and walking areas, including the entry and exit areas of the Target store;
- d. Failing to warn against the unreasonably hazardous conditions, such as ice and ice accumulation on the walking areas and walkways, including the entry the entry and exit areas of the Target store.
- 28. Defendants knew or should have known that there was dangerous ice and ice accumulation on the walkway and walking area at the entry/exit areas of the Target store.
- 29. It was reasonably foreseeable that, as a result of the negligent and careless maintenance, inspection, and control over the premises, dangerous and unsafe conditions, such as ice and ice accumulation, would occur and cause injury to Defendants' business invitees, including Plaintiff.
- 30. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' breaches Plaintiff sustain injuries and damages.
- 31. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants had knowledge of facts or intentionally disregarded facts that created a high probability of injury to the Plaintiff and deliberately proceeded to act with indifference of the high probability of injury to the Plaintiff.
- 32. Plaintiff's damages are permanent and include, but are not limited to, bodily injuries, past and future medical expenses, past and future pain and suffering, mental anxiety, loss of earnings and loss of earning capacity in an amount to be proven at trial.

1 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as set forth 2 in the Prayer for Relief below. 3 JURY DEMANDED 4 Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues so triable. 5 **PRAYER** 6 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows: 7 For judgment against the Defendants and in favor of the Plaintiff; 8 9 2. For general damages in a sum to be determined at trial; 10 3. For compensatory and special damages in a sum to be determined according to proof; 11 4. For punitive damages; 12 5. Pre-judgment and post-judgement interest; 13 6. For reasonable attorney's fees and costs as permitted by law; and 14 7. Such other relief as this Court deems just and appropriate. 15 16 17 Dated: January 5, 2021 THE RABB LAW FIRM, PLLC 18 Vian Lon 19 20 KRISTEN L. CORN Attorney for Plaintiff 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

	Case 2:21-cv-00067-BMM Document 1-3-f	led 09/01/21 Page 10 of 43	
1	Departmer	OF DIFTRIC, COURT STATE FOR A PROPERTY OF THE	
2	2021 JAN 20 AM 8: 52		
3		FILED	
4		BYSD DEDULY	
5	MONTANA'S EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL D	DEPUTY	
6		DV-21-11C	
7	MURTISIAH TAYLOR, an individual,	CASE NO DV-11-216	
8	- Plaintiff,	SUMMONS	
9	vs.	TARGET CORPORATION	
11	TARGET CORPORATION, a Minnesota Corporation, DOES 1-10, and ABC COMPANIES 1-10,		
12 13	Defendants.		
14		ı	
15	THE STATE OF MONTANA SENDS GREETI	NGS TO TARGET CORPORATION:	
16			
17	YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the Complaint for Damages in this action		
18	which is filed in the office of the above-named Court, a copy of which is herewith served upon you,		
19	and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon Plaintiffs' attorney within 21 days after service		
20	of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default, for the relief demanded in the Complaint for Damages.		
21		tor administed in the complaint for buildings.	
22	· History		
23	GIVEN under my hand this	2021.	
24 25	/0		
26		ERHARDT;	
27		DISTRICT COURT	
28	~ <u></u>	Mai Johnson, Deputy Clerk	
		V	

Case 2:21-cv-00067-BMM Document 1-3 Filed 09/01/21 Page 11 of 43

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

Case:	Court:	County:	Job:
DV-11-21C	District	Gallatin	5248233
Plaintiff / Petitioner:		Defendant / Respondent:	
Murtisiah Taylor		Target Corporation, et al	
Received by:		For:	
Williams Investigations		The Rabb Law Firm	
To be served upon: CT Corporation System, R/A for Target Corporation			

I, Calvin Haines, being duly sworn, depose and say: I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action, and that within the boundaries of the state where service was effected, I was authorized by law to make service of the documents and informed said person of the contents herein. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Affidavit signed in Missoula, MT.

Recipient Name / Address: CT Corporation System, R/A for Target Corporation, 3011 American Way, Missoula, MT

59808

Manner of Service: Registered Agent, Jan 13, 2021, 1:36 pm MST.

Documents: Summons, Plaintiffs Combined Discovery Requests to Target, Complaint

Additional Comments:

1) Successful Attempt: Jan 13, 2021, 1:36 pm MST at Company: 3011 American Way, Missoula, MT 59808 received by CT Corporation System, R/A for Target Corporation . Served Registered Agent, Elyse D' Agrella;

Fees: \$50.00

Calvin Haines

14370

01/14/2021

Date

Williams Investigations

4185 N Montana Avenue, Suite 4

Helena, MT 59602 406-241-1872

Case 2:21-cv-00067-BMM Document 1-3 Filed 09/01/21 Page 12 of 43

ALLEN P. LANNING LAW OFFICE OF ALLEN P. LANNING, P.C. 300 Central Avenue, Suite 500 P.O. Box 544 Great Falls, MT 59403-0544

Telephone: (406) 727-9272 Facsimile: (406) 761-1406 allenplanninglaw@gmail.com

Attorneys for Petitioner

MONTANA EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, GALLATIN COUNTY

MURTISIAH TAYLOR, an individual,

Plaintiff,

VS.

TARGET CORPORATION, a Minnesota Corporation, DOES 1-10, and ABC COMPANIES 1-10,

Defendants.

Cause No. DV-11-21C

ANSWER AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Target Corporation ("Target"), through counsel, appears and responds to the Plaintiff's Complaint as follows:

FIRST DEFENSE

Target responds to the specific allegations of the Complaint as follows:

- 1. Upon information and belief, admits the allegations of paragraph 1.
- 2. Admits the allegations of paragraph 2.
- 3. Target is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of allegations set forth in paragraph 3 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.
- 4. Target is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of allegations set forth in paragraph 3 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.
 - 5. With respect to the allegations of paragraph 5, Target realleges its responses

Case 2:21-cv-00067-BMM Document 1-3 Filed 09/01/21 Page 13 of 43 to the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

- 6. Upon information and belief, admits the allegations of paragraph 6.
- 7. As to defendant Target Corporation, admits that this Court has personal jurisdiction over it pursuant to Rule 4(b)(1), M.R.Civ.P., but is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of allegations set forth in paragraph 7 of the Complaint as to other defendants and therefore denies the same.
- 8. Admits that venue is proper in the Montana Eighteenth Judicial District Court pursuant to 25-2-122(10(b) and –(2)(a), M.C.A., but denies any other allegations of paragraph 8.
- 9. Admits the allegations of paragraph 9 as to plaintiff and defendant Target Corporation but is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of allegations set forth in paragraph 9 of the Complaint as to other defendants and therefore denies the same.
- 10. With respect to the allegations of paragraph 10, Target realleges its responses to the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 11. Admits that Target store 1237 located at 2550 Catron Street in Bozeman,
 Montana, is a Target facility operated and occupied by Target Corporation, but denies the
 remaining allegations of paragraph 11.
 - 12. Admits the allegations of paragraph 12.
- 13. Admits that on or about November 30, 2019, Plaintiff visited the Target store to shop for, upon information and belief, various household items, but denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 13.
- 14. Admits the allegations of paragraph 14, except is without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation as to who owned the vehicle, and therefore denies that portion of paragraph 14.

Case 2:21-cv-00067-BMM Document 1-3 Filed 09/01/21 Page 14 of 43

- 15. Admits that plaintiff slipped on an accumulation of ice on the sidewalk between the store entry/exit and the vehicle but denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 15.
 - 16. Admits the allegations of paragraph 16.
 - 17. Denies the allegations of paragraph 17.
- 18. Admits that after completing an incident report and refusing medical assistance, Plaintiff left the store, but denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 18.
- 19. With respect to the allegations of paragraph 19, Target realleges its responses to the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
 - 20. Denies the allegations of paragraph 20.
 - 21. Denies the allegations of paragraph 21.
 - 22. Denies the allegations of paragraph 22.
 - 23. Denies the allegations of paragraph 23.
 - 24. Denies the allegations of paragraph 24.
- 25. With respect to the allegations of paragraph 25, Target realleges its responses to the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
 - 26. Denies the allegations of paragraph 26.
 - 27. Denies the allegations of paragraph 27.
 - 28. Denies the allegations of paragraph 28.
 - 29. Denies the allegations of paragraph 29.
 - 30. Denies the allegations of paragraph 30.
 - 31. Denies the allegations of paragraph 31.
 - 32. Denies the allegations of paragraph 32.

SECOND DEFENSE

There is one cause of action for negligence for this claim in Montana, not two

Case 2:21-cv-00067-BMM Document 1-3 Filed 09/01/21 Page 15 of 43 separate actions as alleged by Plaintiff.

THIRD DEFENSE

Plaintiff's fall and injuries were cause din whole or in part by her own negligence.

JURY DEMAND

Target demands a Jury Trial on all claims and defenses

Wherefore, Target prays that judgment be entered in its favor, and for its costs of suit and such other relief as may be just and warranted by law and the circumstances.

DATED this February 3, 2021.

LAW OFFICE OF ALLEN P. LANNING, P.C.

ALLEN P. LANNING

300 Central Avenue, Suite 500

P.O. Box 2049

Great Falls, MT 59403-2049

Attorneys for Petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on February 3, 2021 a true and correct copy of this Answer and Jury Demand was served on counsel for the plaintiff by email to service@therabblawfirm.com and by U.S. Mail to 3950 Valley Commons Drive, Suite 1, Bozeman, MT 59718.

Allen P. Lanning

Case 2:21-cv-00067-BMM Document 1-3 Filed 09/01/21 Page 16 of 43 , Calendared

GALLATIN COUNTY CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT SANDY ERHARDT

FILED

MONTANA EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, GALLATIN COUNTY

MURTISIAH TAYLOR, an individual, Cause No. DV-21-11C Plaintiff, ORDER FOR STIPULATED SCHEDULING ORDER v. TARGET CORPORATION, a Minnesota Corporation, DOES 1-10, and ABC COMPANIES 1-10, Defendants.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 16(b), M.R.Civ.P., within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order, the parties shall file an agreed upon, proposed Scheduling Order. The proposed Scheduling Order shall strictly follow the language and format, as well as include all deadlines, set forth in the attached form scheduling order. The parties shall leave the pretrial conference date blank, which will be filled in by the Court. If the parties, after making a good faith effort to resolve their disputes, are unable to reach an agreement on the deadlines, they shall each file a separate, proposed Scheduling Order. This Court will then issue a Scheduling Order or set a scheduling conference, at the Court's discretion. The failure to submit an agreed-upon scheduling order may result in the Court issuing a scheduling order sua sponte.

The parties may obtain an electronic version of the form scheduling order by contacting the Court's judicial assistant, Sarah Johnson, at 406-582-2150 or sarah.johnson@mt.gov.

Case 2:21-cv-00067-BMM Document 1-3, Filed 09/01/21 Page 17 of 43

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this _____ day of February, 2021.

IN C. BROWN, District Judge

cc:

Michael L. Rabb
Kristen Corn
Allen P. Lanning

MONTANA EIGHTEENTH J	UDICIAL DI	STRICT COURT, GALLATIN COUNTY
MURTISIAH TAYLOR, an indivi	dual,) Cause No. DV-21-11C
Plaintiff,		ORDER FOR STIPULATED SCHEDULING ORDER
v.		
TARGET CORPORATION, a Mir Corporation, DOES 1-10, and ABC COMPANIES 1-10,)))
Defendants.		
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED	that, pursuan	nt to Rule 16(b), M.R.Civ.P., the parties shall
comply with the following schedule	for the timely	and orderly disposition of this matter.
On or by:	* * * *	* * *
, 201	File motions pleadings.	s to join parties and/or motions to amend
On or by:, 201	Exchange as exhibits.	nd file list of lay witnesses and associated
On or by:	exhibits tog disclosures. testimony. O NOTE: This proposed expertence of a comprehence expert's opin	ad file list of expert witnesses and associated ether with any Rule 26(b)(4), M.R.Civ.P., State the substance of expected expert constant supplementation is required. Court requires simultaneous disclosure of all pert witnesses, together with a comprehensive the proposed expert's opinions/testimony, and asive statement of grounds/reasons for the dions/testimony. Failure to comply may result of sanctions.
On or by: , 201	associated ex contradict or	and file list of rebuttal expert witnesses and schibits, if the evidence is intended solely to rebut evidence on the same subject matter another party under simultaneous expert osures.

On or by:	
, 201	Discovery, including depositions, shall be completed "Completed" means interrogatories, requests for production and requests for admissions shall have been served sufficiently in advance so that required responses are due before this date.
On or by:	
, 201	File and serve all pretrial motions of every nature, including Motions in Limine and Motions for Summary Judgment The parties shall comply with the Montana Rules of Civil Procedure and Uniform District Court Rules. Any delay may result in the Court not considering these motions.
,	LIMITED EXCEPTION FOR MOTIONS IN LIMINE FILED AFTER PRETRIAL MOTIONS DEADLINE: The Court may consider Motions in Limine that legitimately arise after the motions deadline if filed at least fourteen (14) days before the trial, so that the other party has an opportunity to respond. Thereafter, Motions in Limine will only be considered upon a showing of good cause and with leave of court.
	MOTIONS FILED ON THE EVE OF TRIAL ARE STRONGLY DISCOURAGED AND WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED UNLESS JUSTICE REQUIRES.
0	
On or by:, 201_	The parties <u>shall</u> schedule and participate in a mediation with a mutually agreed upon mediator. Each party shall have a person with ultimate settlement authority attend the mediation <u>in person</u> and participate in the mediation in good faith. Following the mediation the parties shall ensure that the mediator files a report advising the Court of the outcome of the mediation.
	If the parties fail to convene a mediation or fail to have the mediator file the report prior to pretrial conference they will not receive a trial date and the pretrial conference will be rescheduled. Willful failure of a party to attend the mediation may result in the Court entering that party's default.
	be trying the case shall appear in person at the PRETRIAL y,, atp.m.

If the attorneys present a signed Pretrial Order to the Court and there are no conflicts or disagreements about the terms of the Order, an attorney may appear by telephone upon motion and signed Order of the Court.

Prior to the Pretrial Conference:

Plaintiff's counsel shall convene a meeting of all parties, <u>sufficiently in advance of the Pretrial Conference</u>, to draft a Pretrial Order. The proposed Pretrial Order shall be presented at the Pretrial Conference. In the event of a dispute regarding the contents of the Order, the parties shall present a draft pretrial order and such dispute shall be discussed at the Pretrial Conference and ultimately resolved by the Court. Counsel shall prepare the consolidated pretrial order in compliance with Rule 5 Uniform District Court Rules.

In addition, the parties shall prepare a comprehensive list of their respective pending motions.

If the parties fail to submit a proposed Pretrial Order they will not receive a trial date, and the pretrial conference will be rescheduled.

This Scheduling Order shall not be modified except by leave of the Court upon a showing of good cause. Any requests for extension must be in writing, clearly advising the Court about opposing counsel's position on the request. Failure to comply with the Scheduling Order may result in sanctions, including denial of requests for extension.

Plaintiff's Attorney	Defendant's Attorney
''s Attorney	''s Attorney
Dated this day of	, 2018.
	JOHN C. BROWN, District Court Judge

GALLATIN COUNTY CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT SANDY ERHARDT

2021 MAR 12 PM 1: 05

FILED

MONTANA EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, GALLATIN COUNTY

MURTISIAH TAYLOR, an individual,

Plaintiff.

Cause No. DV-21-11C

VS.

STIPULATED SCHEDULING ORDER

TARGET CORPORATION, a Minnesota Corporation, DOES 1-10, and ABC COMPANIES 1-10,

Defendants.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 16(b), M.R.Civ.P., the parties shall comply with the following schedule for the timely and orderly disposition of this matter.

On or by: April 30, 2021

File motions to join parties and/or motions to amend pleadings.

On or by: May 30, 2021

Exchange and file list of lay witnesses and associated exhibits.

On or by: July 30, 2021

Exchange and file list of expert witnesses and associated exhibits together with any Rule 26(b)(4), M.R.Civ.P., disclosures. State the substance of expected expert testimony. Constant supplementation is required.

Note: This Court requires simultaneous disclosure of all proposed expert witnesses, together with a comprehensive statement of grounds/reasons for the expert's opinions/testimony. Failure to comply may result in the imposition of sanctions.

On or by: August 30, 2021

Exchange and file list of rebuttal expert witnesses and associated exhibits, if the evidence is intended solely to contradict or rebut evidence on the same subject matter identified by another party under simultaneous expert witness

disclosures.

On or by:

September 30, 2021

Discovery, including depositions, shall be completed. "Completed" means interrogatories, requests for production and requests for admissions shall have been served sufficiently in advance so that required responses are due before this date.

On or by: October 30, 2021

File and serve all pretrial motions of every nature, including Motion in Limine and Motions for Summary Judgment. The parties shall comply with the Montana Rules of Civil Procedure and Uniform District Court Rules. Any delay may result in the Court not considering these motions.

LIMITED EXCEPTION FOR MOTIONS IN LIMINE FILED AFTER PRETRIAL MOTIONS DEADLINE: The Court may consider Motions in Limine that legitimately arise after the motions deadline if filed at least fourteen (14) days before the trial, so that the other party has an opportunity to respond. Thereafter, Motions in Limine will only be considered upon a showing of good cause and with leave of court.

MOTIONS FILED ON THE EVE OF TRIAL ARE STRONGLY DISCOURAGED AND WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED UNLESS JUSTICE REQUIRES.

On or by: November 29, 2021

The parties shall schedule and participate in a mediation with a mutually agreed upon mediator. Each party shall have a person with ultimate settlement authority attend the mediation in person and participate in the mediation in good faith. Following the mediation the parties shall ensure that the mediator files a report advising the Court of the outcome of the mediation.

If the parties fail to convene a mediation or fail to have the mediator file the report prior to pretrial conference they will not receive a trial date and the pretrial conference will be rescheduled. Willful failure of a party to attend the mediation may result in the Court entering that party's default.

The attorneys who will be trying the case shall appear in person at the PRETRIAL CONFERENCE set for Tuesday, Tanan II. 2002, at 200 p.m.

If the attorneys present a signed Pretrial Order to the Court and there are no conflicts or disagreements about the term of the Order, an attorney may appear by telephone upon motion and signed Order of the Court.

Prior to the Pretrial Conference:

Plaintiff's counsel shall convene a meeting of all parties, sufficiently in advance of the Pretrial Conference, to draft a Pretrial Order. The proposed Pretrial Order shall be presented at the Pretrial Conference. In the event of a dispute regarding the contents of the Order, the parties shall present a draft pretrial order and such dispute shall be discussed at the Pretrial Conference and ultimately resolved by the Court. Counsel shall prepare the consolidated pretrial order in compliance with Rule 5 Uniform District Court Rules.

In addition, the parties shall prepare a comprehensive list of their respective pending motions.

If the parties fail to submit a proposed Pretrial Order they will not receive a trial date, and the pretrial conference will be rescheduled.

This Scheduling Order shall not be modified except by leave of the Court upon a showing of good cause. Any requests for extension must be in writing, clearly advising the Court about opposing counsel's position on the request. Failure to comply with the Scheduling Order may result in sanction, including denial of requests for extension.

Plaintiff's Attorney

DATED this 2 day of March, 2021.

Defendant's Attorney

JOHN C. BROWN, District Court Judg

co: Kristen Com & Michael Rabb

- Allen P. Lanning

remailed 3/12/21

Case 2:21-cv-00067-BMM Document 1-3 Filed 09/01/21 Page 24 of 43

1	3. Josh Norris;
2	4. Julie Gasnar;
3	5. Madhusudhan Yedduladoddi;
4	6. Wendy Edwards;
5	7. Matthew Lloyd;
7	8. Brian Dearth;
8	9. Daniel Yauk;
9	10. Dillon Finch;
10	11. Any witness listed by Defendant or any other Party to this matter;
11	12. Witnesses identified during the ongoing discovery in this matter;
13	13. Witnesses identified during depositions held in this matter;
14	14. Witnesses to be used for impeachment purposes;
15	15. Witnesses to be used for foundational purposes; and
16	16. Witnesses necessary for rebuttal purposes.
17	Plaintiff hereby acknowledges and affirms the ongoing duty to supplement this lay witness lis
18 19	as needed under the Montana Rules of Civil Procedure.
20	EXHIBITS
21	Plaintiff may submit any or all of the follow exhibits at the trial in this matter:
22	1. Target Incident Reports;
23	2. Target Investigation Reports;
24	3. Target Photo Collection Form and Checklist;
25	4. Target Witness Reports;
26 27	5. Transcript of recorded statement of Plaintiff;
28	6. Target video depicting Plaintiff's fall at Bozeman store;

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
2	I hereby certify that on this 28th day of May, 2021 a true and correct copy of the foregoin			
3	document was sent to the following individual(s), at the address(es), and in the manner(s) indicated:			
4	Allen P. Lanning X First-class mail, postage prepaid			
5	300 Central Avenue, Suite 500 □ FedEx			
6	P.O. Box 544			
7	Attorney for Plaintiff □ Via email (courtesy copy)			
8				
9				
10	By: <u>Susan Russell</u>			
11	Susan Russell, Litigation Paralegal			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	PLAINTIFF'S LAY WITNESS AND ASSOCIATED EXHIBITS LIST			
	I DAMITIEE S DAT WITHESS AND ASSOCIATED EXHIBITS DIST			

Chambers' Copy O Pelitioner's Copy O Father's Copy

Helge Naber
Montana Bar Id. 7059
NABER PC
600 Central Avenue Suite 425
Great Falls Montana 59401
T 406 452 3100
F 406 452 6599
E helge naber@naberpc.com
ATTORNEY FOR MOTHER

MONTANA EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT FOR CASCADE COUNTY

In re Nova Gopher *

Cause No.

ddn-21-040

-Youth -

MOTION IN LIMINE & MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

Mother Babe Gopher ("Babe"), by and through appointed counsel, hereby respectfully moves the Court to predetermine the evidence admissible to prove or disprove the allegations contained in Petitioner's Petition for Temporary Emergency Protective Services & Adjudication dated, and presents and reasons as follows:

SCOPE OF EXCLUSION

A. Babe seeks to exclude Petitioner from introduction, admission, and consideration of any evidence tending to prove or disprove that

"Babe saw Dr. Bryant and stopped drinking during pregnancy [...]. Babe was at the ER on 3/3/21 and completed a biopsychic analysis. Results of that did not warrant admittance to the hospital. A chemical dependency evaluation was also completed, and results concluded that Babe needed intensive out-patient (IOP) treatment to address her alcohol addiction. Benefis Hospital offered her the IOP services, however, Babe denied wanting the IOP services against medical recommendation." See Affidavit in Support of Temporary Investigative Authority [CPS T Giordano] ddn-21-040 executed 8 Mar 21.

B. Babe further seeks to exclude Petitioner from introduction, admission, and consideration of any evidence tending to prove or disprove that

"Babe confided that she had one-time left N.G. home without a caregiver but quickly remembered her and returned home. Babe also states to the reporter that she is drinking every day and will drink up to 1 liter of vodka every two days. Babe described her drinking pattern to the reporter as heavily drinking for 3 days; then has hangover days and 1 sober day, the begins drinking again. [...] Babe reported to the reporter that she is struggling with post-partum depression." See id.

ARGUMENT IN FURTHERANCE

- I. All Evidence Derived From Babe's Medical Treatment on 3 Mar 2021 Should Be Excluded Because Such Information Is And Remains Privileged.
- 1. A licensed physician, surgeon, or dentist may not, without the consent of the patient, be examined in a civil action as to any information acquired in attending the patient that was necessary to enable the physician, surgeon, or dentist to prescribe or

ALLEN P. LANNING LAW OFFICE OF ALLEN P. LANNING, PC 300 CENTRAL AVENUE, SUITE 500 **PO BOX 544** GREAT FALLS, MT 59403-0544 Phone: 406-727-9272

Email: allenplanninglaw@gmail.com

Attorneys for Target Corporation

MONTANA EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, GALLATIN COUNTY

MURTISIAH TAYLOR, PLAINTIFF,

VS.

TARGET CORPORATION, A MINNESOTA CORPORATION, DOES 1-10, AND ABC COMPANIES 1-10, DEFENDANTS.

CAUSE NO.

DV-11-21C

UNOPPOSED MOTION TO AMEND STIPULATED SCHEDULING ORDER AND EXTEND DEADLINES

COMES NOW Allen P. Lanning, counsel for Target Corporation, and moves the Court to extend the expert and rebuttal expert disclosure deadlines by six weeks to allow for additional time to review medical discovery.

Counsel has contacted opposing counsel and represents that opposing counsel does not object to the extension.

DATED July 30, 2021.

LAW OFFICE OF ALLEN P. LANNING, PC

ALLEN P. LANNING

Attorneys for Target Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the July 30, 2021, a copy of the foregoing **Unopposed Motion to Amend Stipulated Scheduling Order and Extend Deadlines** was served upon the attorneys named below at the address or facsimile number indicated below, by U.S. Mail, Hand-Delivery, Email, or Facsimile transmission, as indicated below.

Michael L. Rabb Kristen L. Corn The Rabb Law Firm, PLLC 3950 Valley Commons Drive, Suite 1 Bozeman, MT 59718

ALLEN P. LANNING

Case 2:21-cv-00067-BMM Document 1-3 Filed 09/01/21 Page 31 of 43

"GALLATIN COUNTY CLERIS OF DISTRICT COURT SANDY ERHARDT

2021 AUG -2 PM 4: 25

FILED

MONTANA EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, GALLATIN COUNTY

MURTISIAH TAYLOR, an individual, PLAINTIFF,

VS.

TARGET CORPORATION, A MINNESOTA CORPORATION, DOES 1-10, AND ABC COMPANIES 1-10, DEFENDANTS.

Cause No. DV-21-11C DV-11-21C

FIRST AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the stipulated scheduling order is amended as follows:

On or by:

September 10, 2021

Exchange and file list of expert witnesses and associated exhibits together with any Rule 26(b)(4), M.R.Civ.P., disclosures. State the substance of expected expert testimony. Constant supplementation is required.

Note: This Court requires simultaneous disclosure of all proposed expert witnesses, together with a comprehensive statement of grounds/reasons for the opinions/testimony. Failure to comply may result in the imposition of sanctions.

On or by: October 11, 2021

Exchange and file list of rebuttal expert witnesses and associated exhibits, if the evidence is intended solely to contradict or rebut evidence on the same subject matter identified by another party under simultaneous expert witness disclosures.

On or by:

September 30, 2021

Discovery, including depositions, shall be completed. "Completed" means interrogatories, requests for production and requests for admissions shall have been served sufficiently in advance so that required responses are due before this date.

On or by: October 30, 2021

File and serve all pretrial motions of every nature, including Motion in Limine and Motions for Summary Judgment. The parties shall comply with the Montana Rules of Civil Procedure and Uniform District Court Rules. Any delay may result in the Court not considering these motions.

LIMITED EXCEPTION FOR MOTIONS IN LIMINE FILED AFTER PRETRIAL MOTIONS DEADLINE: The Court may consider Motions in Limine that legitimately arise after the motions deadline if filed at least fourteen (14) days before the trial, so that the other party has an opportunity to respond. Thereafter, Motions in Limine will only be considered upon a showing of good cause and with leave of court.

MOTIONS FILED ON THE EVE OF TRIAL ARE STRONGLY DISCOURAGED AND WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED UNLESS JUSTICE REQUIRES.

On or by: November 29, 2021

The parties <u>shall</u> schedule and participate in a mediation with a mutually agreed upon mediator. Each party shall have a person with ultimate settlement authority attend the mediation <u>in person</u> and participate in the mediation in good faith. Following the mediation the parties shall ensure that the mediator files a report advising the Court of the outcome of the mediation.

If the parties fail to convene a mediation or fail to have the mediator file the report prior to pretrial conference they will not receive a trial date and the pretrial conference will be rescheduled. Willful failure of a party to attend the mediation may result in the Court entering that party's default.

The attorneys who will be trying the case shall appear in person at the PRETRIAL CONFERENCE set for Tuesday, January 11, 2022, at 2:00 p.m.

If the attorneys present a signed Pretrial Order to the Court and there are no conflicts or disagreements about the term of the Order, an attorney may appear by telephone upon motion and signed Order of the Court.

Prior to the Pretrial Conference:

Plaintiff's counsel shall convene a meeting of all parties, sufficiently in advance of the Pretrial Conference, to draft a Pretrial Order. The proposed Pretrial Order shall be presented at the Pretrial Conference. In the event of a dispute regarding the contents of the Order, the parties shall present a draft pretrial order and such dispute shall be discussed at the Pretrial Conference and ultimately resolved by the Court. Counsel shall prepare the consolidated pretrial order in compliance with Rule 5 Uniform District Court Rules.

In addition, the parties shall prepare a comprehensive list of their respective pending motions.

If the parties fail to submit a proposed Pretrial Order they will not receive a trial date, and the pretrial conference will be rescheduled.

This Scheduling Order shall not be modified except by leave of the Court upon a showing of good cause. Any requests for extension must be in writing, clearly advising the Court about opposing counsel's position on the request. Failure to comply with the Scheduling Order may result in sanction, including denial of requests for extension.

cc:

Lomailed 8/3/21-SD

ALLEN P. LANNING LAW OFFICE OF ALLEN P. LANNING, PC 300 CENTRAL AVENUE, SUITE 500 PO BOX 544 GREAT FALLS, MT 59403-0544 Phone: 406-727-9272

Email: allenplanninglaw@gmail.com

Attorneys for Target Corporation

MONTANA EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, GALLATIN COUNTY

MURTISIAH TAYLOR, PLAINTIFF,

VS.

TARGET CORPORATION, A MINNESOTA CORPORATION, DOES 1-10, AND ABC COMPANIES 1-10, DEFENDANTS.

CAUSE NO.

DV-11-21C

UNOPPOSED MOTION TO AMEND STIPULATED SCHEDULING ORDER AND EXTEND DEADLINES

COMES NOW Allen P. Lanning, counsel for Target Corporation, and moves the Court to extend the expert and rebuttal expert disclosure deadlines by six weeks to allow for additional time to review medical discovery.

Counsel has contacted opposing counsel and represents that opposing counsel does not object to the extension.

DATED July 30, 2021.

LAW OFFICE OF ALLEN P. LANNING, PC

ALLEN P. LANNING

Attorneys for Target Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the July 30, 2021, a copy of the foregoing **Unopposed Motion to Amend Stipulated Scheduling Order and Extend Deadlines** was served upon the attorneys named below at the address or facsimile number indicated below, by U.S. Mail, Hand-Delivery, Email, or Facsimile transmission, as indicated below.

Michael L. Rabb Kristen L. Corn The Rabb Law Firm, PLLC 3950 Valley Commons Drive, Suite 1 Bozeman, MT 59718

ALLEN P. LANNING

ALLEN P. LANNING LAW OFFICE OF ALLEN P. LANNING, PC 300 CENTRAL AVENUE, SUITE 500 PO BOX 544 GREAT FALLS, MT 59403-0544 Phone: 406-727-9272

Email: allenplanninglaw@gmail.com

Attorneys for Target Corporation

MONTANA EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, GALLATIN COUNTY

MURTISIAH TAYLOR, Ρ,

VS.

TARGET CORPORATION, A MINNESOTA CORPORATION, DOES 1-10, AND ABC COMPANIES 1-10, DEFENDANTS.

CAUSE NO. DV-11-21C

DEFENDANT TARGET CORPORATION'S LAY WITNESS AND EXHIBIT LIST

COMES NOW Allen P. Lanning, counsel for Target Corporation, and pursuant to the Court's Stipulated Scheduling order issued on March 12, 2021, submits the following list of lay witnesses and associated exhibits.

LAY WITNESSES:

- 1. Murtisiah Taylor.
- 2. Brian Dearth.
- 3. Dillon Finch.
- 4. Daniel Yauk.
- 5. Monica Payne.
- 6. Matthew Lloyd.

- 7. Plaintiff's health care providers.
- 8. Any witness listed by Plaintiff.
- 9. Witnessed identified during the ongoing discovery and investigation of this matter.
- 10. Impeachment Witnesses.
- 11. Rebuttal witnesses.
- 12. Foundation witnesses.

Target acknowledges and affirms the ongoing duty to supplement this lay witness list as needed under the Montana Rules of Civil Procedure.

EXHIBITS:

- 1. Target Guest Incident Report.
- 2. Target Team Member Witness Statements.
- 3. Target LOD Investigation Report.
- Target Electronic Incident Report.
- 5. Target post-accident investigation photos.
- 6. Relevant video from the date of the incident.
- 7. Plaintiff's relevant medical records.
- 8. Plaintiff's relevant medical bills.
- Any exhibits listed by Plaintiff.
- 10. Any relevant documents produced in discovery or identified in investigation.
- 11. Impeachment exhibits.
- 12. Rebuttal exhibits.
- 13. Demonstrative exhibits.

Target acknowledges and affirms the ongoing duty to supplement this exhibit list as needed

under the Montana Rules of Civil Procedure.

DATED May 28, 2021.

LAW OFFICE OF ALLEN P. LANNING, PC

y _____

ALLEN P. LANNING

Attorneys for Target Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the August 4, 2021 , a copy of the foregoing **DEFENDANT TARGET CORPORATION'S LAY WITNESS AND EXHIBIT LIST** was served upon the attorneys named below at the address or facsimile number indicated below, by U.S. Mail and courtesy email.

Michael L. Rabb Kristen L. Corn The Rabb Law Firm, PLLC 3950 Valley Commons Drive, Suite 1 Bozeman, MT 59718

ALLEN P. LANNING

ALLEN P. LANNING LAW OFFICE OF ALLEN P. LANNING, PC 300 CENTRAL AVENUE, SUITE 500 PO BOX 544 GREAT FALLS, MT 59403-0544

Phone: 406-727-9272

Email: allenplanninglaw@gmail.com

Attorneys for Target Corporation

MONTANA EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, GALLATIN COUNTY

MURTISIAH TAYLOR, PLAINTIFF,

VS.

TARGET CORPORATION, A MINNESOTA CORPORATION, DOES 1-10, AND ABC COMPANIES 1-10, DEFENDANTS.

CAUSE NO.

DV-11-21C

REQUEST FOR STATEMENT OF DAMAGES

COMES NOW Allen P. Lanning, counsel for Target Corporation, and requests that Plaintiff provide a statement of damages setting forth the nature and amount of damages being sought within 15 days pursuant to 25-4-312, M.C.A.

DATED August 4, 2021.

LAW OFFICE OF ALLEN P. LANNING, PC

ALLEN P. LANNING

Attorneys for Target Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the August 4, 2021 , a copy of the foregoing **REQUEST FOR STATEMENT OF DAMAGES** was served upon the attorneys named below at the address or facsimile number indicated below, by U.S. Mail and courtesy email.

Michael L. Rabb Kristen L. Corn The Rabb Law Firm, PLLC 3950 Valley Commons Drive, Suite 1 Bozeman, MT 59718

ALLEN P. LANNING

Case 2:21-cv-00067-BMM Document 1-3 Filed 09/01/21 Page 41 of 43

1 2	Kristen L. Corn (#64623324) Michael L. Rabb (#13734) THE RABB LAW FIRM, PLLC				
3	3950 Valley Commons Drive, Suite 1 Bozeman, MT 59718 Telephone: (406) 404-1747				
4	Facsimile: (406) 551-6847 Email: service@therabblawfirm.com				
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
6					
7					
8	MONTANA'S EIGHTEENTH JUDICIA	L DISTRICT, GALLATIN COUNTY			
9	MURTISIAH TAYLOR, an individual,	CASE NO. DV-21-11C			
10	Plaintiff,				
11	vs.	PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO REQUEST			
12	TARGET CORPORATION, a Minnesota	FOR STATEMENT OF DAMAGES			
13	Corporation, DOES 1-10, and ABC COMPANIES 1-10,				
14	Defendants.				
15					
16					
17	Pursuant to § 25-4-312, MCA, Plaintiff N	Murtisiah Taylor ("Plaintiff"), by and through			
18	undersigned counsel, provides the following response	onse to Defendant's Request for Statement of			
19	Damages sought in this action:				
20	Plaintiff's expert opinions are not yet due. As such, these numbers are premised on ar				
21	assumption that future treatment will be necessary. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this statemen				
22		Tailitiff reserves the right to amend this statement			
23	as expert information is disclosed.				
24	General D	amages			
25	General damages, including pain and	suffering, in an amount to be proven at trial, but			
26	no less than \$100,000.00.				
27	Special Da	amages			
28		to be proven at trial, but no less than \$9,000.00.			

Case 2:21-cv-00067-BMM Document 1-3 Filed 09/01/21 Page 42 of 43

• Future medical expenses in an amount to be proven at trial.

Such other and further relief as the court deems just.

Dated: August 23, 2021

THE RABB LAW FIRM, PLLC



KRISTEN L. CORN Attorney for Plaintiff

Case 2:21-cv-00067-BMM Document 1-3 Filed 09/01/21 Page 43 of 43

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on this 23rd day of August 2021 a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was duly served upon the following individual(s), at the address, and in the manner, indicated. Allen P. Lanning X First-class mail, postage prepaid 300 Central Avenue, Suite 500 FedEx P.O. Box 544 Hand delivery Great Falls, MT 59403-0544 Via fax: Via email (courtesy copy) Attorney for Plaintiff By: Susan Russell Susan Russell, Litigation Paralegal